

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,519	11/01/1999	SHIH CHUNG	AH0948Q	8808
75	90 03/19/2003			
PALAIYUR S KALYANARAMAN			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPT				
2000 GALLOPING HILL ROAD KENILWORTH, NJ 070330530				
12112110111	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			100
		·	DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	α 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BERNSKOD

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
o testion Dos Anneel	09/431,519	CHUNG ET AL.			
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Neil L vy	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on 16 February 2003	is not acceptable because:				
(a) it was not timely filed.					
(b) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b).					
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.					
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$					
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application.					
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on					
2. ☑ The appeal brief filed on 21 February 2003 is N	OT acceptable for the reason(s)	indicated below:			
(a) ⊠ the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).					
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insuff					
The appeal in this application will be dismissed brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may	unless corrective action is tak be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13	en to timely submit the 36(a).			
3 The appeal in this application is DISMISSED be					
 (a) ☐ the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired. 					
(b) ☐ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.					
(c) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on					
(d)					
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this ap	plication:				
(a) is abandoned because there are no allow	ved claims.	Draggettion			
(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.					
(c) is before the examiner for consideration of the submission and prosecution has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.					

Application/Control Number: 09/431,519

Art Unit: 1616

Notice did not indicate claims, so presumption was they were twice rejected claims; however the Brief, later filed, & received AFTER the after final amendment was acted on, addressed claims never examined. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.191 (a). The non-examined claims, if desired to be considered, should not be presented in a Brief, but rather in an appropriate vehicle in accord with PTO practice.

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER